**** **TAPS Cymru**

**Plan for Focused Assessment**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Science & Technology topic:** Living things, humans | Year 5/6Age 9-11 | **Title:** Jump patterns |
| **Enquiry Focus**I can evaluate methods to suggest improvements. | **Concept context** I can describe some changes in growth and development caused by hormones. |
| **Assessment Focus*** Can children draw conclusions from their data?
* Can the children evaluate their methods to consider degree of trust in their data?
 |
| **Activity** *Today we are going to be biologists*Decide on an appropriate jumping/running comparison for the space available e.g. long jump, shuttle run or jump height (e.g. jump and touch marker or stick post it as high as can). Agree as a class how to find out if there is a pattern (correlation) between selected jump/run and a bodily feature like height, leg length, foot length or shoe size. E.g. Do bigger feet jump higher? Do longer legs run faster/further? Do longer legs jump further/higher?NB. These are pattern-seeking investigations (not ‘fair tests’ because we are looking at a pattern in the population) but we can still think about comparing fairly e.g. same start position etc.Include a practice and 3-5 attempts per person to average. **Teacher box 7 - time to reflect.** Collate class averages onto a scatter graph. Discuss any patterns found and any anomalies or unexpected results. Reflect on methods, considering degree of trust in the data and suggestions for improving the method.**Adapting the activity** **Support:** Provide worked example for averaging. Support with measuring as appropriate.**Extension:** Make and test predictions for other patterns for running/jumping etc.**Other ideas:** Try at home, explore other growth patterns with family e.g. do older people have bigger hands etc.Stuck as high as can jump**Questions to support discussion*** How can we safely measure how high we can jump?
* Should we measure the length of our feet or just use our shoe size?
* What have we found out? What conclusions can we draw?
* Does the class graph show a pattern?
* Are the anomalies to do with the way we carried out the investigation? Or is it that there is no clear pattern? What else could affect the jump height?
* Do you trust your results? How accurate do you think they are?
* How do you think we could be more accurate?
 |
| **Assessment Indicators** **Not yet met:** Describes differences in jump height, but needs support to identify any pattern or explanation for lack of a pattern.Does not analyse their method.**Meeting:** Draws conclusions from class results. When prompted, they identify a source of error or make a suggestion about how to improve their method e.g. *accuracy of measuring or need to repeat*.**Possible ways of going further:** Might evaluate more broadly e.g. need to test a larger population. They may question the accuracy of results by comparing to those from other groups, giving give possible reasons for discrepancies and suggest ways to help comparability. |

 Teacher box 7 – time to reflect. See TAPS pyramid for more examples.